# PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE (PMO) RETURN ON INVESTMENT

# FINAL REPORT



# **ABOUT THE COMPANY**

| TIGER S                                 | CREWS <sup>1</sup>                                            |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Туре                                    | Privately held corporation                                    |
| Capital                                 | 100% National                                                 |
| Headquarters                            | Shanghai - China                                              |
| Employees                               | 800                                                           |
| Production                              | 4,200 tons / month                                            |
| Product Mix                             | 12,000 products                                               |
| Revenues (last year)                    | \$ 48,000,000                                                 |
| Ranking                                 | 3 <sup>rd</sup> in the country, 18 <sup>th</sup> in the world |
| Major markets (in order of sales share) | Brazil, Venezuela,<br>Mexico, USA, Canada,<br>Angola, Nigeria |

<sup>1</sup>Tiger Screws is a fictitious company that was created to illustrate how to calculate the return on investment of a PMO. Any resemblance to a real company is entirely coincidental.



**Tiger Screws** was founded in 1961 and is currently the third largest screw manufacturer in the country.

It has the capacity to produce 4200 tons per month and a portfolio of 2.80 different lines

12,000 products comprising 280 different lines.

With sales of \$48,000,000.00 last year, **Tiger Screws** has been growing an average of 21% per year. The leader company in this segment has sales of \$79,000,000.00, with an average growth of 15% per year.

**Tiger Screws** sales are divided into 70% for the domestic market and 30% in six other countries in the American and African continents.

The administration of Tiger Screws is executed by a Board of Directors formed by shareholders plus a few notable people from the industry. The president of the Board of Directors is also the president of the company.

The company has about 800 employees working in three production units located in Shanghai, **China**, Osasco, **Brazil** and Bangkoc, **Thailand**.

The company also has distribution centers in Santos, Brazil and Guangzhou, China.

# **PROJECT PORTFOLIO**

The following table lists the projects that will be managed by the PMO in the next five years. The selection of these projects was made using Tiger Screw's corporate portfolio management process.

The financial results were calculated directly for projects with tangible benefits and indirectly for projects involving intangible benefits. For such cases, the benefits were determined using the AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) and the simplified Bayesian Estimate techniques. The financial figures presented below are already converted into their present values.

| ID | PROJECT                                     | DURATION | BUDGET    | FIN. RESUL. (\$) | ROI         | AREA                     | RISK      | COMPLEXITY |
|----|---------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1  | Review of Product Mix                       | 6        | 460,000   | 128,800          | 28%         | Marketing and Sales      | High      | High       |
| 2  | Zero Accidents                              | 12       | 300,000   | 123,000          | 41%         | Industrial               | Low       | Medium     |
| 3  | Internationalization of Production Units    | 23       | 6,350,000 | 11,430,000       | 180%        | Planning                 | Very High | High       |
| 4  | Modernization of the Instrumentation System | 8        | 2,420,000 | 1,573,000        | 65%         | Industrial               | Medium    | Medium     |
| 5  | E-commerce                                  | 4        | 350,000   | 126,000          | 36%         | Information Technology   | Medium    | Medium     |
| 6  | Corporate Office Projects                   | 7        | 450,000   | 364,500          | 81%         | Planning                 | Low       | Low        |
| 7  | New Markets                                 | 13       | 360,000   | 248,400          | <b>69</b> % | Marketing and Sales      | High      | High       |
| 8  | University Tiger Screws                     | 7        | 350,000   | 258,110          | 74%         | Human Resources          | Low       | Low        |
| 9  | New Line for the Oil Industry               | 18       | 2,850,000 | 598,500          | 21%         | Research and Development | High      | High       |

### PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE (PMO) RETURN ON INVESTMENT ABOUT THE COMPANY

FIN. RESUL. (\$) ID PROJECT DURATION BUDGET AREA COMPLEXITY RISK 10 New Distribution Center 19 3,600,000 2,124,000 **59%** Logistics Very High High 11 Import Finished Products 22 2,080,000 4,430,400 213% Marketing and Sales Very High High 24 12 Opening of Capital 1,200,000 660,000 55% Financial High High 13 Social Media 5 225,000 41,116 18% Marketing and Sales Very Low None 9 14 ERP System 347,200 Information Technology High High 1,240,000 28% New Maintenance Policy 17 680,000 95,200 14% Industrial Medium Medium 15 Total 194 22,915,000 22,548,226

### **PROJECT PORTFOLIO** (continuation)

# **PORTFOLIO STRATIFICATION**

| COMPLEXITY        | PROJECTS | TOTAL DURATION | TOTAL BUDGET | TOTAL FINANCIAL RESULT |
|-------------------|----------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|
| High Complexity   | 8        | 134            | 18,140,000   | 19,967,300.00          |
| Medium Complexity | 4        | 41             | 3,750,000    | 1,917,200.00           |
| Low Complexity    | 2        | 14             | 800,000      | 622,610.00             |
| No Complexity     | 1        | 5              | 225,000      | 41,115.95              |
| Total             | 15       | 194            | 22,915,000   | 22,548,225.95          |

In order to simplify the simulated data, projects were classified into 4 (four) "categories" according to the level of complexity of the project.

The projects with the same level of complexity will be grouped and calculated as a single element.

# **PROBABILISTIC DISTRIBUTION DATA PROFILE**

For simulation purposes, the **variation in schedules, budgets and financial results** is determined by a percentage to be added or taken away from the baseline value.

| DURATION / BUDGET |             |             |             |  |            |             |             |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|------------|-------------|-------------|
|                   | Without PMO |             |             |  | With PMO   |             |             |
| COMPLEXITY        | OPTIMISTIC  | MOST LIKELY | PESSIMISTIC |  | OPTIMISTIC | MOST LIKELY | PESSIMISTIC |
| High Complexity   | +25%        | +50%        | +75%        |  | +0%        | +5%         | +15%        |
| Medium Complexity | +25%        | +50%        | +75%        |  | +0%        | +5%         | +15%        |
| Low Complexity    | +15%        | +30%        | +45%        |  | +0%        | +5%         | +15%        |
| No Complexity     | +10%        | +20%        | +30%        |  | +0%        | +5%         | +15%        |

| FINANCIAL RESULT  |             |             |             |  |            |             |             |  |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|------------|-------------|-------------|--|
|                   | Without PMO |             |             |  | With PMO   |             |             |  |
| COMPLEXITY        | OPTIMISTIC  | MOST LIKELY | PESSIMISTIC |  | OPTIMISTIC | MOST LIKELY | PESSIMISTIC |  |
| High Complexity   | +0%         | -20%        | -30%        |  | +10%       | +5%         | +0%         |  |
| Medium Complexity | +0%         | -20%        | -30%        |  | +10%       | +5%         | +0%         |  |
| Low Complexity    | +0%         | -20%        | -30%        |  | +10%       | +5%         | +0%         |  |
| No Complexity     | +0%         | -20%        | -30%        |  | +10%       | +5%         | +0%         |  |

Two data sets are presented: these compare the results obtained when no PMO exists or no project management process is established against those obtained when there are established processes for project management or a PMO.

These variations are evaluated based on market studies and research on the value of project management.

In the case of Tiger Screws, they opted for a conservative/ pessimistic perspective of the gains to be obtained with a PMO, where the optimistic outlook with the PMO assumes that the baseline case values are kept (0%) and no additional gain will be obtained.

# PORTFOLIO SIMULATION

Based on the basic data of the portfolio and the probabilistic distribution data profile, schedules, budgets and financial results were simulated using triangular distributions for each "category", generating distribution frequency graphs for each data set evaluated.



# **IMPROVEMENT IN TIME**

| STATISTICS         |         | PERCENT | ILE   |      |        |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|------|--------|
| Trials             | 100,000 | 0%      | 31.29 | 60%  | 83.80  |
| Mean               | 79.77   | 10%     | 59.87 | 70%  | 88.08  |
| Median             | 79.78   | 20%     | 66.53 | 80%  | 93.03  |
| Standard Deviation | 15.02   | 30%     | 71.52 | 90%  | 99.69  |
|                    |         | 40%     | 75.77 | 100% | 126.78 |
|                    |         | 50%     | 79.78 |      |        |

### CONCLUSION

There is a 90% probability that the time gain using the PMO will be a minimum of **59.87 months**.

Please note that the objective of time gain is to evaluate the reduction in the projects' workload and not necessarily a faster completion of work.



# **GAIN IN BUDGETS**

| STATISTICS         |              | PERCE | NTILE        |      |               |
|--------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|------|---------------|
| Trials             | 100,000      | 0%    | 3,606,978.10 | 60%  | 10,226,382.08 |
| Mean               | 9,702,355.47 | 10%   | 7,079,051.85 | 70%  | 10,798,999.79 |
| Median             | 9,710,732.45 | 20%   | 7,949,33.15  | 80%  | 11,453,496.87 |
| Standard Deviation | 1,974,491.61 | 30%   | 8,615,088.26 | 90%  | 12,322,497.62 |
|                    |              | 40%   | 9,182,361.04 | 100% | 15,765,007.69 |
|                    |              | 50%   | 9,710,723.29 |      |               |

### CONCLUSION

There is a 90% probability that **savings in financial investments** (budgets) using the PMO will be at least **\$7,079,051.85**.

# **GAINS IN FINANCIAL RESULTS**



### **STATISTICS**

| 100,000      |
|--------------|
| 4,885,464.95 |
| 4,990,530.57 |
| 1,318,293.80 |
|              |

| F | PERCE | INTILE       |      |              |
|---|-------|--------------|------|--------------|
|   | 0%    | 658,194.03   | 60%  | 5,338,818.99 |
|   | 10%   | 3,040,938.53 | 70%  | 5,679,229.44 |
| I | 20%   | 3,697,475.40 | 80%  | 6,058,075.00 |
|   | 30%   | 4,204,554.59 | 90%  | 6,544,955.20 |
|   | 40%   | 4,622,957.56 | 100% | 8,315,454.74 |
|   | 50%   | 4,990,451.82 |      |              |

### CONCLUSION

There is a 90% probability that **the gains in financial results** with the use of the PMO will be at least **\$3,040,938.53**.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE (PMO) RETURN ON INVESTMENT INVESTMENTS AND PMO CONTRUBUTION ON THE RESULTS

# **INVESTIMENTS IN PMO**

The following table shows the level of expenditure needed in order to secure the investment of the PMO for the next 5 years. The investments will be converted to their present values assuming an interest rate of **13% per year**.

|                | YEAR 1    | YEAR 2  | YEAR 3  | YEAR 4  | YEAR 5  | TOTAL     | TOTAL PV     |
|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Infrastructure | 50.000    | 50.00   | 20.000  | 30.000  | 50.000  | 200.000   | 161.368,16   |
| Consulting     | 800.000   |         |         |         |         | 800.000   | 800.000,00   |
| Personal       | 420.000   | 420.000 | 420.000 | 420.000 | 420.000 | 2.100.000 | 1.669.277,96 |
| Equipment      | 100.000   |         |         |         |         | 100.000   | 100.000,00   |
| Other          | 10.000    | 10.000  | 10.000  | 10.000  | 10.000  | 50.000    | 39.744,71    |
| Tota           | 1.380.000 | 480.000 | 450.000 | 460.000 | 480.000 | 3.250.000 | 2.770.390,83 |

# AHP - IMPORTANCE OF THE PMO ON BENEFITS AND RESULTS

The following matrix compares the contribution of project management processes (PMO) to the results obtained; however, there may be other factors as well, since the results can be improved not only by the PMO, but also by many other external factors, and these need to be considered.

By using the AHP technique, which was carried out with the stakeholders, it was concluded that the contribution of the PMO to the **overall results is 52.2%**, with an inconsistency index of 6.8%. The results were calculated using a Analytic Hierarchy Process-enabled software

| 20% |                               | 1              |             |                             |                      |              |  |
|-----|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--|
|     |                               | MARKET CHANGES | LEGISLATION | PROJECT MANAGEMENT<br>(PMO) | LOW TECHNICAL SKILLS | OTHERS       |  |
|     | MARKET CHANGES 1              |                | Likely      | Less likely                 | Very likely          | Likely       |  |
|     | LEGISLATION 2                 |                |             | Very unlikely               | Very likely          | As likely as |  |
|     | PROJECT<br>MANAGEMENT (PMO) 3 |                |             |                             | Highly likely        | Very likely  |  |
|     | LOW TECHNICAL 4               |                |             |                             |                      | Less likely  |  |
|     | OTHERS 5                      |                |             |                             |                      |              |  |
|     |                               | 1              |             |                             |                      |              |  |
|     | INDEX: 6,8%                   | MARKET CHANGES | LEGISLATION | PROJECT MANAGEMENT<br>(PMO) | LOW TECHNICAL SKILLS | OTHERS       |  |
|     | PROBABILITY                   | 23,36%         | 11,61%      | 52,20%                      | 3,63%                | 9,21%        |  |

3.63%

9,27%

23.36%

11.61%





By comparing the simulated data against the baseline case, it is possible to highlight improvements in time, budget and financial results, as seen below.

| GAINS IN FINANCIAL RESULTS (\$)<br>Resulting from budget reduction and an<br>improvement in the financial results. | 10,119,990.38 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| FINANCIAL GAINS<br>/ PORTFOLIO VALUE (%)                                                                           | 44.16%        |
| IMPROVEMENT IN TIME / EFFORT<br>RELIABILITY OF 90%                                                                 | 59.87 months  |
| IMPORTANCE OF THE PMO ON RESULTS (%)                                                                               | 52.20%        |
| FINANCIAL GAINS ADJUSTED FOR<br>IMPORTANCE OF THE PMO ON RESULTS (\$)                                              | 5,282,634.98  |
| PMO INVESTMENT (\$)                                                                                                | 2,770,390.83  |
| PMO RETURN ON INVESTMENT (\$)                                                                                      | 2,512,244.15  |
| PMO RETURN ON INVESTMENT (%)                                                                                       | 90.68%        |

# **FINAL COMMENTS**

The values presented are statistical reference values calculated by means of previously defined parameters.

The results on return on investment presented herein support the decision process to introduce or continue the implementation efforts of a PMO in any given organization.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE (PMO) RETURN ON INVESTMENT ABOUT MACROSOLUTIONS AND RICARDO VARGAS

## **RICARDO VARGAS**

Ricardo Viana Vargas is a project, portfolio and risk management specialist. During the past 15 years, he has been responsible for over 80 major projects in various countries and in many areas, comprising an investment portfolio of over 18 billion dollars.

He was the first Latin American volunteer to be elected Chairman of the Board for the Project Management Institute (PMI).

## MACROSOLUTIONS

With more than 80 clients in Brazil and other countries. Macrosolutions is ready to offer the state-of-the-art in products and services in project, portfolio and risks management areas.

- Project, Portfolio and Risk Management Consulting
- Crisis Management
- Training
- Lectures and Speeches
- Mediation and Negotiation

## CONSULTING

Macrosolutions is able to provide your organization with the state-of-the-art in project, portfolio and risk management. Our experience and our clients prove these benefits.

### Project Management Office (PMO) Implementation and Structuring

Use the Macrosolutions consulting services to obtain the necessary skills and manage projects within your company's strategy.

#### **Projects Offices Assessment, Optimization and Restructing**

Ensure superior results from your company's Project Office using the experience of someone who has more than 100 PMOs implemented in the world.

### **Portfolio and Investment Management**

How to perform numerous potential projects with limited resources? We'll show you the solution to this and other portfolio management challenges.

#### Structuring the Risks Management Processes

Gain competitive advantage through the proper threats and opportunities management on your projects.

### Resources and Investment Optimization through the **Program Management**

Achieve better results through the integrated and related projects management or projects that share resources, technology, suppliers and other stakeholders.

#### Projects accelerated planning through the Accelerated **Benefits in Projects**®

From 0 to 100% in 3 weeks! Understand why our Accelerated Benefits® methodology will provide visibility to your project results in a record time.

### Applying Quantitative Methods and Risks Simulators in **Projects**

Increase the reliability of yours predictions results using the ultimate mathematical and statistical simulators for deadlines and budgets.

# **TRAINING**

Learn from the most respected professionals in the market. Macrosolutions offers customized courses for your company, covering many different subjects.

- Project Management: Establishing Competitive **Advantages**
- Risk Management
- Portfolio Management
- Negotiation Techniques for Project Managers
- Managing Complex Projects and Projects in Crisis
- PMO Project Management Office

# WORKSHOPS

Help a fictitious company to manage its projects portfolio and understand in practice the decision making models to



select the appropriate projects for the strategic business objectives.



Get to know the most awarded project management workshop in the world and understand in practice how project

management ensures more effective results to your business and your life.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE VISIT RICARDO-VARGAS.COM